Open University M.Sc. Technology Management – Ian Glossop

Enterprise Architecture Survey

[Should you feel there is insufficient space allowed to answer any question, further space may be found at the back of this questionnaire. Please indicate clearly to which question the response belongs.]

		y which you wish to be identified – this may be a s. Please provide as many or as few contact details as
Name (or pseudor	ıym):	
Country:		Industry:
E-mail:		Linked-In Profile:
About your pro	ofessional role or job).
Description of you	r organisational role: (guide	eline 30 words)
Job title / role:		
Job Description: _		
_		
Your view of E	Enterprise Architectur	re
How would you de (guideline 50 word		e, activity or subject of "Enterprise Architecture"?
Enterprise Archited	cture is	

What are the purposes of Enterprise Architecture? What is it for? (Enter a '1' for the (single) or most important purpose, 2 for secondary purposes and a 'tick' or 'check' for others)	primar
Modelling the Enterprise: Maintaining Enterprise Stability:	
Improving Enterprise Coherence: Informing Decision-Making:	
Designing IT Systems: Aligning IT (Delivery) to Business Needs:	
Facilitating Organisational Change: Improving IT Delivery:	
Formulating Business Strategy: Executing Business Strategy:	
Developing IT or Technology Strategy: Governing IT or Technology Development:	
Developing Organisational Capabilities: Developing Technology Capabilities:	
Facilitating Software or IT Development: Specifying Technology Acquisitions:	
Assessing Proposed Change Impacts: Aligning Business and Technology Strategie	s:
Other Purposes (please provide a short description of each):	
Your knowledge of / expertise in Enterprise Architecture	
Please rate your EA knowledge / expertise on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = Novice and 5 = Ex	pert
Please qualify your EA education level (tick/check all that apply):	
One or more training courses of a few weeks or less:	
Several training courses plus a year or more of practical experience:	
Five years or more of continuous professional development:	
One or more years of formal postgraduate study at a recognised institution:	
Academic research in Enterprise Architecture (or related subject) at a recognised institution:	
A teaching position /professorship in Enterprise Architecture at a recognised institution:	

Other EA or EA-related education (please describe):					
Please characterize your 'base' education (tick or check all that apply):					
University degree (or similar) in:					
Non-IT Physical Sciences / Engineering: An IT-related subject – e.g. Computer Science:					
Other Sciences / Engineering: Business / Finance / Management (inc. MBA):					
Mathematics / Logic / Philosophy: Non-Business/Management Social Science:					
Modern Languages: Arts / Classics:					
Other? (please identify):					
Non-university-degree education (please describe):					
Other form of education (please describe):					
With which of the following methods / frameworks are you familiar?					
Please rate you familiarity on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = basic awareness to 5 = expert knowledge,					
enter a 0 or leave blank for no awareness / knowledge.					
Zachman: TOGAF FEAF: DODAF:					
MODAF: (Barnard) EA ^{3:} (Spewak) EAP: Archimate:					
NCC SAM: SEAM: Lean EA: PEAF (Smith):					
Gartner EAF: SAP EAF: Cap-Gemini IAF: DEMO:					
VPEC-T: GERAM: IDEF: Ent. Engineering:					
Oracle EAF: IBM GS Method: CSC Catalyst: Graves' 'Real' EA:					
DYA: FFLV-GODS: BCS/ISEB EA Model:					
Tapscott & Caston's Views: Krutchen's 4+1 Views:					

Others? (Please identify and rate):				
In your view, (please rate on a scale of 1 to 5)				
How important is the use of an EA Framework? (1=unimportant, 5=critically important):				
How important is it to tailor or adapt the framework to the particular situation of the enter (1=unimportant, 5=critically important):	prise?			
Is your preferred/usual framework sufficient in itself or does it require to be supplemented with methods/techniques from elsewhere? (1=needs supplement, 5=self-sufficient) Please justify (guideline 50 words):				
Characterising the Enterprise Architecture Discipline				
In your view, which of the following aspects/features of Enterprise Architecture are definitive on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = superfluous/unnecessary to 5 = essential / definitive).				
As-Is Modelling: To-be Modelling: Roadmapping:	_			
Transitional Architectures: Holistic approach:				
Use of multiple 'Views': Multiple Perspectives or Viewpoints:				
Use of (one or more) formal modelling language(s) and/or conventions:				
Development of a single, unified formal model:				
Business Modelling (Organisation, Processes, Functions):				
[Business] Information Modelling:				
IT Infrastructure (including Applications) Modelling:				
Non-IT Technology Infrastructure Modelling:				
Business Operations Modelling (inc. Operating Model development):				
Business Context / Environment Modelling:				
Use of 'Patterns' and/or 'Building Blocks':				
Use of Architecture Principles and Strategies:				
Business Design and Change (including Transformation) Governance:				

Technological Infrastructure (IT and Non-IT) Design and Development Governance:
Use of Technology (inc. Software) Standards and Evaluation:
Use of Systematic Technology Evaluation / Selection / Acquisition Processes:
Technological Environment/Context Modelling and Technology (IT and non-IT) Strategy:
Development Programme and Project Scoping and Planning:
Organisational Change and Innovation Scoping, Evaluation and Planning:
Development Programme Financial Planning:
Usage of Hierarchical Decomposition and Portfolio Management (Techniques):
Others? (Please identify and rate)
How do you distinguish Enterprise Architecture activity from (IT or Non-IT) Solution Architecture or Design? (guideline: 50 words)
How do you distinguish Enterprise Architecture from Programme Management or Project Management or Change Management – or any combination thereof?
Where, in your view, does Enterprise Architecture fit in the panoply of organisational activities? Is it a part of any of these traditional functional areas: Strategic Management, Financial Management, Human Resources Management, Operations Management, IT Management, Marketing Management etc.?

Enterprise Architecture's Intellectual Inheritance

From which of the following older disciplines does Enterprise Architecture inherit, encompass or incorporate (concepts, principles, theories, models etc.)? (Please rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = little inheritance, 5 = concepts, models etc. largely taken over into EA and 0 = no inheritance) [If you are not familiar with any of these disciplines/theories please feel free to look them up on the Internet.]

Systems Thinking	(gene	erally)		
Traditional (Hard) Systems Engineering		General Systems Theory		
'Classical' Cybernetics		Operational / Operations Research		
Socio-Technical Systems (Trist et al.)		Systems Dynamics (Forrester et al.)		
Systems Dynamics (Senge)		Second Order Cybernetics		
Soft Systems Methodology		Appreciative Systems (Vickers)		
Viable Systems Method (Beer)		Critical Systems Heuristics (Ulrich)		
Critical Systems Thinking (Jackson)		System-of-Systems Approach/Method		
Viable Systems Method (Beer)		Critical Systems Heuristics (Ulrich)		
Self-Producing Systems (Maturana)		Total Systems Intervention (Jackson)		
Strategic Options Development and Analy	ysis (Ad	ckerman and Eden)		
Learning Systems (Kolb, Lewin, Argyris, S	Schon (et al.)		
(General) Network Analysis				
Notions of Modularity, Causality, Material	, Inform	nation and Energy Flows		
Systems Modelling, Influence Diagrams, Data-flow diagrams				
Notions of Systems Decomposition, Oper	n and C	Closed Systems		
The "Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisd	lom" (o	r Action) Hierarchy		
Theories distinguishing and relating Data	and In	formation		
Systems Coupling and Interaction Notions	s – Loc	se-Tight		
Other "Systems Thinking" disciplines/flavo	ours?	(please identify and rate)		

onsider to be of particular importanc ustify why it is / they are of particular	e – e.g. a "sociotechnical" perspective ? (please idel importance)	ntify and
strategic Management	(generally)	
	νο γγ	
raditional SWOT Analysis	Hierarchical Strategic Planning	
orter Value Chain Analysis	Porter 5-Forces Model	
orter Generic Strategies Model	Strategy Clock (Johnson & Scholes)	
esource Based View of the Firm	Capability Planning	
istinctive Capabilities	Dynamic Capabilities Theory	
ore Competencies	Balanced Scorecard (Approaches)	
cenario Planning	Change Mngmt Models (Lewin-Kotter)	
AcKinsey 7S Framework	Cultural Web (Johnson & Scholes)	

Resource Based View of the Firm		Strategic / Scenario Planning			
Learning Organisation		Organisational Adaptation			
Organisational Configurations		Emergent Strategy			
Environmental Analyses (e.g. PESTEL)		Business Process Re-engineering			
Business Process Management		Stages of Growth Model (Greiner)			
Financial Management		(generally)			
Activity Based Costing		Real Options Analysis			
Cost-Benefit Analysis, DCF, NPV etc.		Investment Appraisal, Capital Rationing	etc		
Technology Strategy / Technology Ma	anagem	ent (generally)	_		
Technology Trajectories	Techno	logy Lifecycles			
Dominant Design	Techno	logy Strategic Planning (Chisea, Probert)			
Technology Audit Technology Portfolio Management					
Actor-Network Theory 'Waterfall' Project Management					
Dynamic Capabilities (Teece)	Corpora	ate Coherence (Dosi, Teece, Winter)			
Requirements Engineering					
Social Construction of Technology (Bijke	er, Pinch	, et al.)			
Information Technology Management	t	(generally)			
Applications Portfolio Management		Systems Development Lifecycle			
(Full/Extended) Systems Lifecycle		Investment Appraisal, Capital Rationing	etc		
IT Infrastructure 'Bricolage' (Ciborra)		Technological 'Frames' (Orlikowski)			
Stages of Growth Model (Nolan)		IT Governance			
Information Technology Eras (Earl)		Requirements Engineering / Managen	nent		
ITIL Service Management (Delivery)		ITIL IT Infrastructure Management (IT O	ps)		
Information Systems (IS) / Information Technology (IT) Distinction					
Information Infrastructures Design Theo	ry (Hans	eth)			

Information and Data communications / Data Networks Theory (generally)	
Shannon-Weaver Information Theory ISO 7-Layer Model	
Data-communication protocols Integration Software Infrastructure	
Operations Management (generally)	
Notions of Processes and Transformations Facilities Layout / Planning	
Capacity Planning Quality Assurance / Control	
Statistical Process Control Systematic Decision-Making	
Product and Process Engineering Forecasting and Production Planning	
Just-In-Time/Throughput Planning Reliability Engineering	
Systematic Project/Change Management Transition-to-Service Planning	
Inventory Planning Business Continuity Planning	
Software Engineering (generally)	
Modular Software Structures (Architectures) S/W Loose Coupling Principle	
Service Oriented Software Architectures Stepwise Refinement	
Separation of Data and S/W Functionality Structured Data and Data Structures _	
Data Representation and Access Languages Notions of Meta-Data and Master Data _	
Software Application Patterns – e.g. MVC Software Integration Patterns	
Agile / Rapid Project Management Methods Modelling Techniques	
Other disciplines ? (please identify and rate)	

Are there any concepts, theories, principles, methods etc inherited from the disciplines identified above you consider to be of particular importance – e.g. a "sociotechnical" perspective? (please identify and justify why it is / they are of particular importance)				
				
				

Enterprise Architecture Context

In you experience / expertise, which of the following are 'sister', subordinate or super-ordinate activities in an enterprise? Please rate according to the degree of interaction or involvement EA has with the activity where 1 = little interaction and 5 = intense interaction. Please rate the importance for success on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = unimportant and 5 = critical.

<u>Activity</u>	Superordinate	Sister	Subordinate	Importance
Programme Management				
Project Management				
Strategy Formulation				
Risk Management				
IT Operations / Service Delivery				
Software Development				
Corporate Governance / Compliance / Audit				
Project Portfolio Management				
Budget Planning / Management				
Financial Management				
Marketing Management				
Any other observations you would like to make a activities take place (or should take place)?	about the contex	t in whic	h enterprise ard	chitecture

The Enterprise Architecture Description or Model

What in your view is the Enterprise Architecture Description or Model? Is it a) a collection of

documents, b) a formal symbolic model with p more-or-less presecribe forms, d) an assemb things or f) something else?			-	_	
An Enterprise architecture Description or Mod	lel is				_
					
					
Modelled Components					
Which of the following enterprise components Enterprise Architecture Model? (Please rate of and 5 = essential). Which are fundamental, irreduced from elements of different types components of the same type (e.g. processes	on a scale of 1 to reducible (atomic s)? Which may be	5 where 1 =) types and e (hierarchic	superfluo which are cally) decor	us elabora composite mposed in	es
Component Modelled	Importance	Atomic C	omposite	Decompo	sable
Business Functions				_	
Business (Work) Activities / Operations				_	
Programmes (of Work)				_	
Projects				_	
Tasks				_	
Roles				_	
Organisation Units / Structures					
Accountabilities					
Pusinosa Canabilitias					

Responsibilities	 	
Skills	 	
Knowledge (elements)	 	
Business / Organisational Values	 	
Business Strategies	 	
Market / Sales Projections	 	
Financial Projections	 	
Business Processes	 	
Organisational Policies	 	
Technology Strategies	 	
Business Services	 	
Enterprise Locations	 	
Material (or Materiel) Flows	 	
Energy Flows	 	
Information / Data Flows	 	
Information / Data Stores	 	
Knowledge Flows and Repositories	 	
Information / Data Structures	 	
Information / Data Types	 	
Information / Data Formats	 	
Information / Data Costs / Investments	 	
Information / Data Exchange Protocols	 	
Technology Elements (inc. Software Components)	 	
Technology Functions (inc. Software Functions)	 	
Technology Services (inc. Software Services)	 	
Technology Projections	 	
Technology Types	 	
"Actors" (Someone or something that acts)	 	
"Socio-Technical Systems"	 	
Technological Systems	 	
Social Systems (Formal and Informal)	 	
Actions	 	

Concerns				
Powers (Authorities) and Influences (Consultation	ons)			
Cultural Elements				
Collaborations and Interactions				
"Events"				
Products/(Customer) Services				
"Stakeholders"				
Contracts / "SLA"s / "OLA"s				
Others? (Please identify and rate)				
Component	Importance A	tomic Com	posite Deco	mposable
Please define the terms "Node" and "Network" as A 'Node' is (guideline: 50 words)				odelling.
A 'Network' is (guideline: 50 words)				

Modelled Relationships					
Which of the following relationships b Enterprise Architecture Model? (Pleas and 5 = essential).		-		•	
Model Relationship	Importance	Atomic	Composite	Decompo	<u>sable</u>
Comprises / Is composed of					
Groups / Is a group of					
Uses / Is used by					
Triggers / Is triggered by					
Consumes / Is consumed by					
'Owns' / Is assigned to					
Outputs to / Is input from					
Invokes / Is invoked by					
Authorises / Is authorised by					
Verifies / Is verified by					
Receives / Is received by					
Transmits to / Receives from					
Specializes to / Is a type of					
Realises / Is realised by					
Instantiates to / Is an Instance of					
Depends upon / Is depended on by					
Requires or needs / Is required or needs	eded by				
Directs / Reports to					
Other Relationships? (Please identify	and rate)				
Relationship	<u>Impor</u>	rtance Ato	omic Compo	site Decor	mposable
					

	Relationship' is where (guideline: 50 words)
\ 'Realisation Re	elationship' is where (guideline: 50 words)
How would vou d	lefine the notion of "Abstraction" as used in you preferred or usual style of
	on a dead of the d
nodelling.	
	(guideline: 50 words)
Abstraction is	

Perspectives (Viewpoints) and Views

a useable Enterprise Architecture Model? (Plea elaboration and 5 = essential). [Note: where the	ves (or category of views) do you consider to be important for ase rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = superfluous e framework from which the viewpoint defined is identified mply commitment to the rest of the framework.]
Business Views	Strategic Views (MODAF)
Operational Views (MODAF)	System Views (MODAF)
Information Views	Service Oriented Views (MODAF)
(Software) Application Views	'All' Views (MODAF)
Technical Standards Views (MODAF)	Technology (Infrastructure) Views
Acquisition Views (MODAF)	'Human' (Factors) Views (MODAF+)
'Introductory' Views (Archimate)	Organisation Views (Archimate)
Actor Cooperation Views (Archimate)	Business Function Views (Archimate)
Process Cooperation Views (Archimate)	Business Process Views (Archimate)
Product Views (Archimate)	S/W Application Behaviour Views (Archimate)
(IT) Infrastructure Views (Archimate)	S/W Application Cooperation Views (Archimate)
Information Structure Views (Archimate)	S/W Application Structure Views (Archimate)
Service Realization Views (Archimate)	(IT) Infrastructure Usage Views (Archimate)
Layered Views (Archimate)	(IT) Landscaper Map Views (Archimate)
'Application Views	'Technology' Views
(IT) Implementation and Deployment Views (A	archimate)
Other Viewpoints or View sets? (Please identif	y and rate)
Viewpoint, Description, Rating	

How would you distinguish between 'Infrastructure Views' and 'Technology Views' (or do you conside the terms to be synonymous)? How is technology strategy represented in your preferred set of views (or model or framework)? How is business strategy represented?
To what extent should contingent, stakeholder-centric, arbitrary (not specified within the framework) views (based on the judgment of the architect) be used? (Rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 means arbitrary views should be used very rarely and 5 means frequent use of arbitrary views). Why?

'Reference Models'
How would you define the notion of a 'Reference Model' – as used in you usual / preferred framework? What features distinguish a reference model from other parts of an Enterprise
Architecture Descirption? How are reference models used? What is the relation of reference models
to patterns or building blocks or composite types (used in the EA model or description)?
To what extent is the usage of reference models necessary or advisable? Rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = unnecessary and 5 = absolutely essential. Please justify you rating.

Enterprise Architecture Literature

Core Texts

Which of the following do you consider to be "core texts" (ie essential must-reads for anyone wanting to learn or understand EA, definitive of the discipline as a whole)? Please rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 = absolutely core and definitive and 1 = useful, possibly idiosyncratic and unnecessary perspective.
"Enterprise Architecture as Strategy – Creating a Foundation for Business Execution", Ross, J.W., Weill, P. and Robertson, D.C., (2006)
"Enterprise Architecture Planning – Developing a Blueprint for Data, Applications and Technology", Spewak, S.H., Hill, S.C. (1992)
"An Introduction to Enterprise Architecture – Linking Business and Technology", Bernard, S.A., (2005)
"Enterprise Architecture at Work – Modelling, Communication and Analysis", Lankhorst, M. et al., (2004)
"Dynamic Enterprise Architecture – How to Make It Work", Wagter, R., van den Berg, M., Luijpers, J., & van Steenbergen, M., (2005)
"Enterprise Architecture – Using the Zachman Framework", O'Rourke, C., Fishman, N. & Selkow, W., (2003)
"Enterprise Architecture – Creating Value by Informed Governance", Op 't Land, M., Proper, E., Waage, M., Cloo, J., and Steghuis, C. (2009)
"Guide to Enterprise IT Architecture", Perks, C and Beveridge, A., (2001)
"Lost In Translation – A Handbook for Information Systems in the 21 st Century", Green, N and Bate, C., (2007)
"Doing Enterprise Architecture – Process and Practice in the Real Enterprise", Graves, T., (2009)

"Real Enterprise Architecture - Beyond IT to the Whole Enterprise", Graves, T., (2008)	
"Building an Enterprise Architecture Practice – Tools, Tips, Best Practices, Ready-To-Use Insignation of the Berg, M. and van Steenbergen, M., (2006),	hts",
"An Introduction to PEAF – the Pragmatic Enterprise Architecture Framework", Smith, K., (2011)
"Coherency Management – Architecting the Enterprise for Alignment, Agility and Assurance", D G., Gøtze, J., Saha, P. and Bernard, S. (Eds.), (2011)	oucet,
{The TOGAF Manuals / Website }, The Open Group, (2009)	
(The Archimete Specification / Website). The Open Crown (2011)	
{The Archimate Specification / Website }, The Open Group, (2011)	
{The MODAF Manuals / Website }, The UK Ministry of Defence, (2010)	
(The medical of tresenter), The entirement of Determines, (2010)	
{The FEAF Manuals / Website }, The US Ministry of Federal CIO Council, (2010)	
Other "Core Texts"? Please identify and rate.	
{Title}, {Authors}, {Year}	
	_
	
	_
	_
	_
	
·	_
	_
	_

Seminal Papers
Which of the following papers do you consider to be seminal, and possibly definitive, of Enterprise Architecture? Please rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 = seminal and definitive and 1 = useful, possibly idiosyncratic and unnecessary perspective.
Zachman, J.A., "A Framework for Information Systems Architecture", IBM Systems Journal, Vol. 26, No. 3, (1987).
Johnson, J.R., "Enterprise Analysis", Datamation, December, (1984).
Nolan, R.L, "Top-Down-Driven Architecture Design", Stage-By-Stage, Vol.8, No. 1,, (1988)
Venkatraman, N., "Research on MIS Planning: Some Guidelines from Strategic Planning Research", Journal of MIS, Vol.2, No. 3,, (1986)
Zachman, J.A. and Sowa, J.F., "Extending and Formalizing the Framework for Information Systems Architecture", IBM Systems Journal, Vol. 31, No. 3, (1992)
Beveridge, A. and Perks, C., "Blueprint for a Flexible Enterprise", Intelligent Enterprise, March, (2000) ——
Dietz,J. and Hoogervorst, J., "Enterprise Ontology and Enterprise Architecture – How to let them Evolve into Effective Complementary Notions", Journal of Enterprise Architecture, Vol 1, (2007).
Jonkers, H., Lankhorst, M., Buuren, R.V., Hoppenbrouwers, S., Bonsangue, M and Torre, L.V.D, "Concepts for Modeling Enterprise Architectures", International Journal of Cooperative Information Systems, Vol 13 No. 3, (2004)
Weiss, S., Aier, S., & Winter, "Towards a Reconstruction of Theoretical Foundations of Enterprise Architecuture" in M. T. De Marco, <i>Information Systems: Crossroads for Organization, Management, Accounting and Engineering</i> (pp. 461-468). ItAIS: The Italian Association for Information Systems: Springer-Verlag, (2012).
Aier, S. and Schelp, J., "A reassessment of enterprise architecture Implementation" In Trends in Enterprise Architecture Research, pages 53-68, (2009)

Other (academic) papers you consider to be seminal, important or definitive for Enterprise Architecture? Please identify and rate.			
{Title}, {Authors}, {Journal}, {Year}			
			
Are you a regular or occasional reader of			
a) the Journal of Enterprise Architecture	or		
b) Trends in Enterprise Architecture Research	_?		
Enterprise Architecture Critique			
Enterprise Architecture Deficiencies			
Which of the following do you consider to be deficie practised or the academic discipline of Enterprise A 5 = serious deficiency and 1 = unimportant and mineral controls.	rchitecture? Please rate on a scale of 1 to 5 where		
nformation Technology Centrism La	ck of Theoretical Basis		
Neglect of non-IT Technologies So	ftware Centrism		
Lack of Conceptual Coherence Me	echanistic View of Enterprises		

Lack of Clear Subject Boundaries		Unboundedness of EA Activity	
Lack of Clear Principles or Theories		Terminological Ambiguity	
Disputatious Fundamental Notions		Lack of Consensus Knowledge-base	
Absence of Academic Rigour		Lack of Referencing to Earlier Work	
Unscientific Approach		Methods in Contention	
Variable and Idiosyncratic Approaches		Excessive Bureaucracy	
Lack of Social Science Inputs		'System' Design Focus	
Absence of Cultural Factors		Lack of Proven Delivery / Efficacy	
Software Production Focus		IT / Management Faddishness	
Lack of Pragmatism		Lack of Professionalism	
Lack of Continuous Improvement		Fragmented Research Community	
Lack of Rigorous Knowledge Qualificatio	n		
Lack of Integration with other disciplines			
Lack of Quantitativeness and Simulation			
Failure to Model 'People' Aspects of Ente	erprises	<u></u>	
A Lack of Self-critique or Critical Thinking	9		
A Lack of Praxis-Academe (Bidirectiona	l) Feedl	pack	
Other Things Wrong (with Enterprise Arc	hitectur	re)? (Please identify, describe and rate).	

How would you categorise Enterprise Arch	nitecture as a discipline?		
January San Canada			
Pure, Hard Science	Applied Hard Science		
Pure Social Science	Applied Social Science		
An Engineering Discipline	A Craft Discipline		
Pre-Scientific Heuristics	A Management Consultancy Fad		
A Creative Art			
_	A Form of (Organisational) Politics		
An Emerging Profession			
An Emerging, Integrative, 'Cross-Functional' Academic Subject			
Or some combination of the above, or something else? Please describe			
Please return the completed survey form to <u>ea-survey@glomal.co.uk</u> . All forms returned before midday on Monday 29 th July 2013 will be included in the survey results.			
Please tick or check here if you would like address given at the top of this form)	a (soft) copy of the survey results (returned to the	ne email	

Thank-you for taking your time to complete this survey.

Are there any comments / observations you would like to make about Enterprise Architecture, its frameworks, methodologies, practices, theories etc. ? If so please use the following pages, which also be used as additional space to answer the earlier questions if required.	ma





